

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/486,706 | 06/26/2000 | ALWIN TIMMERMANN | 1247-0861-3V | 9778 | |
| 7 | 7590 01/31/2002 | | | • | |
| OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY FOURTH FLOOR | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | STRIMBU, GREGORY J | | |
| ARLINGTON | , VA 22202 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3634 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/31/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | I |
|------------------------------|--|--|---|----|
| ./ | Advisory Action | 09/486,706 | TIMMERMANN, ALWIN | , |
| | Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Gregory J. Strimbu | 3634 | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
| Theret final re condit | REPLY FILED 1/15/02 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apper ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this application to the same of th | cation. A proper reply to a chipleces the application in | |
| | PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | • | |
| Ext have bea | The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). The sensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened be, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three models. | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distautory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for the st | the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee unde the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | n |
| | patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | |
| | A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | |
| 2.🖂 | The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | |
| (a) | they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | |
| (b) | they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | below); | | |
| (c) | they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or simplifying the | he |
| (d) | they present additional claims without cancel | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected claims. | |
| | NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | |
| 3.[| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec | tion(s): | | |
| 4. | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | l be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed amendmer | ıt |
| 5. | The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NOT place the | |
| 6. | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | |
| 7.🛛 | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | |
| | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| | Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| | Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| | Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8 and 10-19</u> . | | | |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. | The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp | proved by the Examiner. | |
| 9. | Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | |
| 10. | Other: | | GREGORY J. STRIMBU PRIMARY EXAMINER | |
| | | Gregory O S | MAR | |
| | (Rev. 04-01) Adv | isory Action | Part of Paper No. 11 | |
| | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/486,706



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed recitation "box-like" on line 5 of claim 10 presents new 35 USC 112 issues and does not place the case into a condition for allowance.